

**From:** Patrick Allen <[pdfallen@gmail.com](mailto:pdfallen@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 12:29 PM  
**To:** CEQAResponses <[CEQAResponses@co.humboldt.ca.us](mailto:CEQAResponses@co.humboldt.ca.us)>  
**Subject:** Disapproval

I do not agree with this project because I am not convinced the project-runners will prioritize the wildlife that is and will be using this area. Other local tribes are attempting to reintroduce the California Condor to its central/northern range, and this project could pose a serious threat to this project. The mitigation that is offered right now may not be enough to avoid take of Condor. I would like to see that there will be appropriate recompense for take, if it occurs. I would like to see some line of communication opened up regarding this issue so that the associated agencies involved with this proposed wind-farm may assist the condor recovery program to increase mitigation efforts already in place. Specifically, I would like to see further consideration to the transmitters/geofencing purposed. The turbines need to be able to see the birds at an appropriate rate. A condor can cover much land in an hour.

By prioritizing the condor, this may increase the mitigation of take of other threatened or endangered species also utilizing this area. What I would like to ask for is that the appropriate agency(ies) engage in discussions regarding the safety of the condor, as well as increase the risk of damage to the marine life in the area from unforeseen malfunction of the turbines.

I also do not agree that one year of survey to detect bald eagles, to develop a baseline, is appropriate. For timber harvest, a minimum of two is required for T&E species, but one year of one hour surveys can not be the only science collected regarding the use of this landscape by bald eagles.

I65-1