

Mushrush, Paula

From: Wall, Robert
Sent: Wednesday, August 13, 2014 3:13 PM
To: Mushrush, Paula
Subject: FW: Streamside Management Area Buffers

Here is a GPU comment.

From: Joyce King [mailto:samonely@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 13, 2014 3:12 PM
To: Sundberg, Ryan; Fennell, Estelle; Bohn, Rex; Lovelace, Mark; Bass, Virginia
Cc: Fennell, Estelle; Richardson, Michael; Wall, Robert
Subject: Streamside Management Area Buffers

Dear Supervisors Sundberg, Bohn, Bass, Lovelace, and Fennell:

I'd like to suggest re-incorporating some version of the following Biological Resources Implementation Measure, which was deleted on 4-14-2011 by the Planning Commission based on adoption of streamside buffer widths which were then in agreement with recommendations by the Ca Dept of Fish & Game and are now being reconsidered.

Whether or not the 2011 buffer widths are retained, it seems prudent to include such "adaptive management" strategies in order to respond to rapidly changing conditions and effects of streamside management practices on fragile fish populations, and to acknowledge the strongly diverging opinions on this issue.

Thank you
Joyce King
685 School Rd, McKinleyville

BR-IMx4. Streamside Management Areas Definition Review.

The County shall review and revise as necessary existing Streamside Management Area (SMA) and wetland buffer requirements in consultation with the Department of Fish and Game and shall consider adopting more conservative SMA buffers, if such measures are necessary to reduce impact levels to less than significant. Such review should utilize stream designations determined by qualified biologists in the field, and/or by using the most recently available stream and fish habitat data, such as the DFG Eureka Office stream files, and "CalFish," the multi-agency cooperative fish and aquatic habitat on-line data program.