
From: Lisa B [lisab@humboldt1.com]
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2013 5:40 PM
To: Richardson, Michael
Subject: Re-writing of the Guiding Principles

Dear Mr. Richardson,

The re-write of the Guiding Principles is completely unacceptable and slanted in a manner that is environmentally destructive and not in the best interests of the County. I want to see the original principles returned with no changes made.

Some of my objections to the re-write are:

- 1) Protections for ag and timberlands are gone in the re-write while incentives to produce are inserted. Protecting ag and timberlands are critically important for the health of this area.
- 2) This encourages building based on a premise of a decline in affordable housing that is sheer guess work and is clearly there to justify rampant building.
- 3) Following on the above this encourages building on rural lands that will result in fragmentation of the rural lands and is an environmentally destructive plan.
- 4) This gives landowners the right to build anywhere as long as an airy and completely undefined "balanced approach" is in place. Unacceptable.
- 5) It is completely meaningless to say "support economic development" What does that mean? What kind of economic development?
- 6) If the principles don't need to be consistent with the GP why bother to have a GP?

I am a Eureka homeowner. All of the above point to a greed driven and destructive plan counter to the best interests of the County.

Sincerely,

Lisa Butterfield
2440 Wood Street
Eureka, CA 95501
707-443-2472