

McNamara, Cade

From: Sharon <srhafner@suddenlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:18 PM
To: CEQAResponses
Subject: Nordic Aquafarm Project

I would far rather see the Nordic Aquafarm Project rejected from its intended site on Humboldt Bay, but at the very least it must be responsible to the potential damage it may cause environmentally, as follows:

1. Potential effects should be modeled using ambient water quality data from ocean waters near the discharge point, rather than data taken in Humboldt Bay (approximately 3.5 miles south-southeast of the end of the ocean outfall pipe).
2. Levels of toxic algae should be monitored both pre- and post-project and compared to thresholds that would trigger protective actions *before* another toxic algae bloom leads to harmful levels of domoic acid.
3. An explicit requirement in the EIR that the project will, from day one of operations, be powered solely by renewable energy.
4. Additional onsite renewable energy production through more aggressive utilization of solar, including over parking areas.

Thank you -

Sharon Hafner