HUMBOLDT COUNTY
COMMUNITY TASK FORCE
Notes
June 4, 2020 1:30 – 3:00 PM

Zoom Meeting: https://zoom.us/j/96437951614
Meeting ID: 964 3795 1614; Password: 421540

Purpose Statement: Participants will understand the stipulated judgment, Task Force membership roles and responsibilities and will define what success will look like.

I. Welcome and Introduction

Ivy introduced herself and welcomed all to Part 2 of our quarterly Community Task Force meeting. She explained that due to social distancing, we have divided the agenda into three smaller meetings as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Main Agenda Item</th>
<th>Zoom Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part 1</td>
<td>5/28</td>
<td>1:30-3:00 HCSO, DHHS, CWS Updates Ombuds System Review</td>
<td><a href="https://zoom.us/j/95157304259">https://zoom.us/j/95157304259</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting ID: 951 5730 4259; Password: 421540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>1:30-3:00 CWS System Improvement Plan (SIP)</td>
<td><a href="https://zoom.us/j/96437951614">https://zoom.us/j/96437951614</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting ID: 964 3795 1614; Password: 421540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting ID: 945 3941 1090; Password: 421540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Last week we saw a presentation on the Ombuds Office system review. Today we will be presenting data related to projects and updates within our system related to the stipulated judgment as well as Child Family Services Review (CSFR) data.

Ivy announced we will not be doing stakeholder breakout sessions today because of some last minute unavailability of CWS staff. We will be scheduling additional stakeholder session to identify goals and wrap up with upcoming meetings.
There were approximately 51 participants from many programs and the community joining via Zoom for this meeting.

**CWS Updated AG Stipulated Judgment Progress Data**

II. Ivy, with the assistance of CWS Analysts Ashley Garrett and Cris Plocher, presented updated information on our progress related to the stipulated judgment. She will go over some of the changes we have made and show how we are measuring those changes. Specific tasks related to the judgment include:

- CANRA (defines mandated reported requirements as well as how CWS handles investigations)
- Child Protection Reporting Guide (CPRG)
- Identification of community-based resources in Humboldt County.

**QUICK POLL #1:**

1. I am aware of the CWS Ombuds Office for making a complaint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>33 (94%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ivy outlined system changes in many areas. How did things look before (system review findings)? What we are doing now in response to findings (updates)?

**QUICK POLL #2:**

1. When I called the CWS Child Abuse Hotline in the last 12 months, my call was answered promptly by a CWS social worker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>8 (24%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>26 (76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- CWS saw a drop in calls to the hotline when shelter-in-place began, possibly due to children having less contact with mandated reporters. Throughout
shelter-in-place, CWS continued to make in-person visits and are starting to see an increase in reports assigned for an in-person investigation.

- CWS has experienced a significant drop in investigations open over 40 days and we are committed to continuing that trend going forward.
- Ashley reported on the Quality Improvement Project Dashboard – Investigation Timeliness. This dashboard is updated weekly and distributed to managers, supervisors, CWS Administration and Connie Beck. Recently she added comparative data with the State of California.

**QUICK POLL #3:**

1. When I made a CWS child abuse report as a Mandated Reporter in the last 12 months, I received my Mandated Reporter response letter.
   - Yes: (6) 17%
   - No: (0) 0%
   - N/A: (30) 83%

- Humboldt County CWS uses the statewide CWS/CMS database so we have to work within that system. We monitor through weekly reporting to make sure mandated reporter response letters are going out as part of stipulated judgment. We were reliant on snail mail for delivery of those letters; however, since the shelter-in-place went into effect, Humboldt County submitted a request to CDSS to add a field for collecting mandated reporter email addresses in CWS/CMS in order to facilitate sending the letters via email, within confidentiality limits. Potentially this could improve communication even after shelter-in-place restrictions are lifted.
- We have continued to achieve a 100% cross reporting rate to law enforcement; we track this weekly to make sure it happens.
- We are working hard to increase staffing levels and new positions have been allocated to CWS. Caseloads were high and impacting practice so we are gradually increasing social worker, supervisor and social services aide positions. We are planning to hire in 3-4 cohorts per year, allowing us to onboard in a meaningful and planned way. We will get new cohorts started with their training as soon as shelter-in-place restrictions allow.
QUICK POLL #4:

Some statistics presented include:

- The child population in Humboldt County has increased 6.5% in last ten years. Total referrals have had a 33% increase. We have also seen an increase in court-involved family maintenance services.
- The CFSR data shows that we have consistently higher allegation rates than the state, partially because of our tight-knit safety net making calls and connecting families to services. Further, other counties could be processing information differently.
- We continue to be selective about placements and work to keep children with relatives and non-related family members (NREFM) versus foster care.
- There has been a decrease in children placed in group homes.
- We continue to make sure children in foster care are receiving health and dental exams; we achieve higher than state rates.
- A small percentage of children experience maltreatment in foster care; the same as or below the state level.
- We continue to work on data integrity and entering correct data.
- Our 53.8% reunification rate after a child is placed in foster care is in line with the state. Trauma and addiction are significant challenges for families.
- We continue to work toward reducing re-entry into foster care after reunification. We are currently below the national standard and consistently at or around our benchmark.
- We want to have few placement changes, and are at or around the national standard for placement stability.
• SIP goals: we are showing improvement in permanency in 12 months in care (12-23 months in care) and timely response for 10-day timely response (93.6% versus state rate of 92.1%).
• QA and CQI is still in development.

III. CWS Updated Child Family Services Review (CFSR) Data

Cris Plocher summarized the dashboard related to CFSR measures, which all counties are required to monitor. Many measures involved with comparison to national, state rates. We are showing progress in many areas and data entry is very important.

• Measures are constantly being updated and there is always room for improvement. We must be diligent in monitoring and maintaining to be in compliance.
• State consultants want to see our numbers compared to national and state numbers.

Ivy added that this data allows us to look at data by quarter, which is a little more volatile than annual reports.

QUICK POLL #5:

IV. Closing Remarks / Dates for Next Task Force Meeting

• CWS will take comments/questions over chat to follow up for continued discussion.
• Request made from Community Task Force members that future Task Force agenda item include working with law enforcement on a training or a
conversation around mandated reporter’s names remaining confidential when law enforcement agencies are conducting their investigation.

- Additional SIP Stakeholder information will be going out soon to entire group.
- Next week (June 11) is Part 3 of this quarterly Community Task Force meeting. We will be presenting on CPRG Workgroups.
- The next quarterly Community Task Force Meeting is August 20, 2020, and most likely will be held over Zoom.
- Ivy thanked everyone for coming and participation in polls and feedback.

Minutes prepared by:
Cyndee Pomrehn, CWS Executive Secretary
AGENDA

- Overview System Changes
- Measuring System Change
- Updated Data
- Additional SIP Stakeholder Session
- Next Task Force Meetings
CANRA policy and compliance, identification of barriers encountered by the community regarding CANRA and recommendations to address

Child Protection Reporting Guide (CPRG)

Identification of community-based resources in Humboldt County
Quick poll
System review findings

- Calls not always answered immediately by social worker
- Not all reports requiring cross report sent to law enforcement
- Inconsistent Tribal Collaboration at Hotline level
- Collateral contacts not consistently included in investigative process

Updates

- All calls answered in real time by social worker 24 hours/7 days
- Electronic cross reporting implemented and monitored
- MOU with Sheriff’s Office for Cross Reporting
- Updated P&Ps including Tribal Collaboration, Intake, Mandated Reporter and Cross Reporting P&P
- Mandated Reporter Letters
CWS INVESTIGATIONS

System review findings

• Investigations remaining open longer than the statutory requirements

• In February 2018, 293 investigations had been open longer than 40 days

Updates

• Implemented teamed social workers in ER, backlog unit

• Focused CQI strategies implemented to address timeliness issue

• As of May 30, 2020, 3 investigations had been open longer than 40 days
COMPLAINTS/STAKEHOLDER INPUT

System review findings

• No formal complaint procedure for Child Welfare Services
• Lack of process for community feedback regarding barriers/issues with CWS services

Updates

• Creation of an Ombuds office for investigating complaints
  • Up for 2 years.
• Creation of the Community Task Force that meets quarterly
TRAINING AND STAFFING LEVELS

System review findings

- Staff training and support needed to improve performance and outcomes
- Policy and procedure revisions and implementation needed
- Workload study needed to identify staffing levels needed

Updates

- Increased social worker allocations in CWS
- Contracted with NCCD for SDM Training
- Mandatory trainings within 30 days of hire and annually thereafter
- Updated Policies and Procedures
- Contracted with NCCD to conduct Workload Study
TRIBAL COLLABORATION

System review findings

• Inconsistent collaboration with Tribes from worker to worker
• Inconsistent collaboration when CAST interviews occurring
• Inconsistent levels of engagement with Tribal families

Updates

• New Tribal Collaboration Policy and Procedure
• Participating in CAST Protocol Revision subcommittee. Interim procedure for contact Tribes.
• Cultural coaches imbedded in CWS
Quick poll
CALLS TO CWS CHILD ABUSE HOTLINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Calls to CWS Hotline</th>
<th>Referrals Received</th>
<th>Calls Routed through Answering Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/4/20 - 3/10/20</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/11/20 - 3/17/20</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/20 - 3/24/20</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/20 - 3/31/20</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/20 - 4/7/20</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/20 - 4/14/20</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/15/20 - 4/21/20</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/20 - 4/28/20</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/29/20 - 5/5/20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6/20 - 5/12/20</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/13/20 - 5/19/20</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/20/20 - 5/26/20</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REFERRALS RECEIVED DURING THE SELECTED WEEK
FEBRUARY 2020 TO MAY 2020 (DURING SHELTER IN PLACE)
INVESTIGATIONS OPEN OVER 40 DAYS
JANUARY 2019 TO MAY 2020

So far this year the average number of investigations open over 40 days each week is 6
QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT DASHBOARD
INVESTIGATION TIMELINESS

Goal 1: Increase the percentage of investigations open over 40 days from 65% to no more than 35% by December 31, 2019.

Status: In Progress
- Strategies Employed:
  - 2/19/2019-4/22/2019: NSSD onsite to develop plan to reduce investigation backlogs and prevent future backlogs.
  - 4/20/2019: NSSD and HEDC are present in initial training construct sessions with staff.
  - 6/3/2019: April review requested by inspecting investigation distribution of 4-8 and 8-10 days.
  - 6/9/2019: Evaluating investigation timelines in 4-8 and 8-10 days.

Progress Takeaways:
- As of 12/19/19, all investigations considered looking at the start of the disputed judgment were closed.
- There has been a 54% decrease in the number of investigations open over 40 days since 1/19/19.
- Data shows a consistent positive trend from 1/18/19 and a positive slope from 2/18/19.
- As of 12/19/19, San Miguel County has the lowest number of investigations open over 40 days compared to the state average, and there is a significant improvement over all days but otherwise some investigations.

Action Items:
- Continued monitoring for ongoing compliance.

Goal 2: Increase the percentage of investigations with supervisor approval to remain open over 60 days to 100%.

Status: In Progress
- Strategies Employed:
  - 4/17/2019-6/15/2019: NSSD developed request to inspect investigation beyond Division 14-60 form.
  - 6/26/2019 - Request to inspect investigation beyond Division 14-60 received from the Arizona State Attorney General’s Office on 6/26/19.
  - 7/17/2019 - Request to inspect investigation received and closed.
  - 8/1/2019: Griesedieck Special Project Code in QA SHEET to track extension forms.

Progress Takeaways:
- There has been a 15 percentage point increase in initial investigation contacts entered timely (5-30 days) during the month.

Action Items:
- Spontaneous review conducted.
  - Percent of investigation contacts entered timely August 2017 to Present
  - Percentage of timely investigations during the month August 2017 to Present

Goal 4: Increase the percentage of investigations with initial contact completed to 90%.

Status: In Progress
- Strategies Employed:
  - 4/1/2019-5/26/2019: NSSD allowed the option of using Contact Sheets for documenting contact notes that will be electronically stored by the Director.
  - 7/1/2019: NSSD allowed the option of using Contact Sheets.

Action Items:
- 100% of investigations have initial contact completed.
  - Percentage of initial investigation contacts entered timely (0-30 days) during the month.

Progress Takeaways:
- There has been a significant increase in initial investigation contacts entered timely.
Quick poll
REPORTS RECEIVED FROM MANDATED REPORTERS
JANUARY 2019 TO MAY 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Reports</th>
<th>Total Reports from Mandated Reporters</th>
<th>% of Reports from Mandated Reporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2019</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2019</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2019</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2019</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2019</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2019</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2019</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2019</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2019</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2019</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2019</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2020</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2020</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2020</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2020</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PERCENTAGE OF REFERRALS FROM MANDATED REPORTERS WITH WRITTEN FEEDBACK PROVIDED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/Quarter</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q1</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q2</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q3</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q4</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q1</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q2</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q3</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q4</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q2 (Partial Quarter)</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUCCESSFUL CROSS REPORTS TO LAW ENFORCEMENT
2018 TO 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Referrals Requiring Cross Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q1</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q2</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q3</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Q4</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q1</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q2</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q3</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q4</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q1</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quick poll
COUNTY CHILD POPULATION
2009 TO 2019

6.5% increase from 2009 to 2019

Source: UC Berkeley CWS/CMS Report System (05/28/20 and U.S. Census)
TOTAL CWS REFERRALS RECEIVED BY RESPONSE TYPE
2010 TO 2019

- Total Referrals: 3,322 (33% increase)
- Evaluate Out: 2,024 (29% increase)
- 10 Day: 1,066 (38% increase)
- Immediate: 232 (41% increase)

From January to May 2020, CWS received 1,131 referrals.
NUMBER OF CHILDREN WITH ALLEGATIONS, SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND FOSTER CARE ENTRIES 2009 TO 2019

- Allegations: 2,534
- Investigations: 1,301
- Substantiated Allegations: 276
- Foster Care Entries: 178

Source: UC Berkeley CWS/CMS Report System (05/28/20)
CWS CASES AND FOSTER CARE PLACEMENTS 2009 TO 2020 (POINT IN TIME)

CWS Cases
707
Foster Care Placements
475

Source: CWS/CMS
OPEN PLACEMENTS*: TOTAL AND OUT OF COUNTY
NOVEMBER 2018 TO APRIL 2020
*INCLUDES CLIENTS IN CARE FOR AT LEAST EIGHT DAYS AT ANY TIME DURING THE SELECTED MONTH. VOLUNTARY GUARDIANSHIPS AND SUPERVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING PLACEMENTS ARE NOT INCLUDED.
FAMILY MAINTENANCE (FM) CASES
OPEN ON JANUARY 1ST FOR YEARS 2015 AND 2020

January 1, 2015 (N=144)  January 1, 2020 (N=210)

- **Under 1**: 9% (2015), 7% (2020)
- **1-5**: 40% (2015), 26% (2020)
- **6-10**: 30% (2015), 30% (2020)
- **11-15**: 31% (2015)
- **16-17**: 17% (2015)

**Court Ordered**
- **2015**: 56%
- **2020**: 72%

**Voluntary**
- **2015**: 44%
- **2020**: 28%

**Race**
- **White**: 55% (2015), 53% (2020)
- **Native American**: 34% (2015), 29% (2020)
- **Latino**: * (2015), 11% (2020)
- **Asian/Pacific Islander**: * (2015), * (2020)
- **Black**: * (2015), * (2020)
- **Missing**: * (2015), * (2020)

**Gender**
- **Female**: 51% (2015), 50% (2020)
- **Male**: 49% (2015), 50% (2020)
CWS CASES BY SERVICE COMPONENT
2009 TO 2020 (POINT IN TIME)

Permanent Placement: 272
Family Maintenance: 232
Family Reunification: 155
Supportive Transition: 48

Source: CWS/CMS
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019
ALLEGATION AND SUBSTANTIATION RATE

**ALLEGATION RATE**
This measure reports the annual allegation rate per 1,000 children.

**HUMBOLDT COUNTY**

**CALIFORNIA**

**SUBSTANTIATION RATE**
This measure reports the substantiation rate per 1,000 children.

**HUMBOLDT COUNTY**

**CALIFORNIA**
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019
FOSTER CARE ENTRY AND IN CARE RATE

FOSTER CARE ENTRY RATE
This measure reports the annual foster care entry rate per 1,000 children.

IN CARE RATE
This measure reports the in care rate per 1,000 children for children in foster care on the first day of the specified month.
SUBSTANTIATIONS
This measure reports the number of children with substantiated allegations.
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019
CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE (COURT DEPENDENT) BY ETHNICITY

CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE: COURT DEPENDENT
This measure reports the number of all court dependent children who have an open placement episode on the first day of the specified month.

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

ALL CHILDREN

CALIFORNIA

ALL CHILDREN

WHITE

NATIVE AMERICAN
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019
CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE (COURT DEPENDENT) BY ETHNICITY CONTINUED

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

LATINO

25 Jan-05
41 Jan-20

AFRICAN AMERICAN

14 Jan-05

ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER

1,464 Jan-05
1,041 Jan-20

MISSING ETHNICITY

137 Jan-05
1,016 Jan-20

CALIFORNIA

28,272 Jan-05
27,790 Jan-20

20,084 Jan-05
10,998 Jan-20

1,464 Jan-05

137 Jan-05
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019

2B. TIMELY RESPONSE

2B. TIMELY RESPONSE: 10-DAY
This measure reports the percentage of cases in which face-to-face contact with a child occurs, or is attempted, within the regulatory timeframes.
--- National Standard = 90%

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

2B. TIMELY RESPONSE: IMMEDIATE
This measure reports the percentage of cases in which face-to-face contact with a child occurs, or is attempted, within the regulatory timeframes.
--- National Standard = 90%

CALIFORNIA

93.6%
92.1%
97.0%
97.6%
2F. MONTHLY VISITS (OUT OF HOME)
This measure reports the percentage of children requiring an in-person contact in which that contact occurred.
--- National Standard = 95%

2F. MONTHLY VISITS IN RESIDENCE (OUT OF HOME)
This measure reports the percentage of children with in-person contacts in which the contact occurred in the residence of the child or youth.
--- National Standard = 50%
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019
4B. LEAST RESTRICTIVE PLACEMENT

4B. LEAST RESTRICTIVE PLACEMENT
This measure computes the percentage of children (in care 8 days or more) who have a first placement coded as one of the following: Relative/NREFM; Foster; FFA; Group/Shelter; Other

RELATIVE/NREFM
- Relative/NREFM Home
- Tribe Specified Home
- County Approved Resource Family Approval (RFA) Home (Relative/NREFM)
- Foster Family Agency Resource Family Approval (RFA) Home (Relative/NREFM)

FOSTER
- Foster Family Home
- Small Family Home
- County Approved Resource Family Approval (RFA) Home (Nonrelative or Self)
### CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019

#### 4B. LEAST RESTRICTIVE PLACEMENT CONTINUED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Humboldt County</th>
<th>California</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FFA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Family Agency</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Family Agency Certified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Family Agency Resource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Approval (RFA) Home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nonrelative or Self)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **GROUP/SHELTER**               |                 |                           |
| Group Home                      | 15.9%           | 16.8%                     |
| Short-Term Residential Therapeutic Program (STRTP) | | 9.1% |
| County Shelter                  |                 |                           |

| **OTHER**                       |                 |                           |
| Court Specified                 | 22.0%           | 4.3%                      |
| Guardian                        |                 |                           |
| Supervised Independent Living Placement (SiLP) | | 2.7% |
| Other                           | 9.3%            |                           |
5B. RATE OF TIMELY EXAMS: HEALTH
This measure reports the percentage of children meeting the schedule for Child Health and Disability Prevention (CHDP) and Division 31 medical exams.

5B. RATE OF TIMELY EXAMS: DENTAL
This measure reports the percentage of children meeting the schedule for Child Health and Disability Prevention (CHDP) and Division 31 dental exams.
3-S1. MALTREATMENT IN FOSTER CARE
This measure reports the rate of victimization per day for foster care, for all children in foster care during a 12-month period.

3-S2. RECURRENCE OF MALTREATMENT
For all children who were victims of a substantiated maltreatment allegation during a 12-month reporting period, this measure reports the percent who were victims of another substantiated maltreatment allegation within 12 months of their initial report.
6B. INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PLAN
This measure reports the percent of children in out-of-home (OHH) placements who have ever had an Individualized Education Plan (IEP).

TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN REUNIFIED
This measure reports the percentage of all exits from out-of-home care with the termination reason of reunification (an exit from care to parents or primary caretaker).
3-P1. PERMANENCY IN 12 MONTHS (ENTERING FOSTER CARE)
For all children who enter foster care in a 12-month period, this measure reports the percent discharged to permanency within 12 months of entering foster care.

3-P2. PERMANENCY IN 12 MONTHS (IN CARE 12-23 MONTHS)
For all children in foster care on the first day of the 12-month period who had been in foster care between 12 and 23 months, this measure reports the percent discharged to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the 12-month period.

3-P3. PERMANENCY IN 12 MONTHS (IN CARE 24 MONTHS OR MORE)
For all children in foster care on the first day of the 12-month period who had been in foster care 24 months or more, this measure reports the percent discharged to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the 12-month period.

HUMBOLDT COUNTY
- National Standard = 40.5%

CALIFORNIA
- National Standard = 43.6%

- National Standard = 30.3%
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) DATA 2005 TO 2019

3-P4. RE-ENTRY TO FOSTER CARE IN 12 MONTHS & 3-P5. PLACEMENT STABILITY

3-P4. RE-ENTRY TO FOSTER CARE IN 12 MONTHS
For all children who enter foster care in a 12-month period who discharged within 12 months to reunification, living with a relative(s), or guardianship, this measure reports the percent who re-enter foster care within 12 months of their discharge.

3-P5. PLACEMENT STABILITY
This measure reports the rate of placement moves per day of foster care for all children who enter foster care in a 12-month period.

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

CALIFORNIA

National Standard = 8.3%
National Standard = 4.12
### 8A. OUTCOMES FOR FOSTER YOUTH EXITING AT AGE 18 OR OLDER

These measures report on the outcomes for youth exiting foster care at age 18 (or legally emancipating before age 18), NMDs exiting foster care and NMDs who re-entered foster care and are exiting again. **(Data tend to be volatile due to low client counts)**

*Partial Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Humboldt County</th>
<th>California</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completed High School or Equivalency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015*</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Have Housing Arrangements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015*</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obtained Employment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015*</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanency Connection with an Adult</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015*</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CWS SIP GOALS (2017 – 2022) AND PERFORMANCE

- Permanency in 12 Months (12-23 Months in Care) – (P2) → **Shows Improvement**
- Timely (Initial) Response for 10-Day Compliance - (2B) → **Shows to Improvement**
- Quality Assurance – Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) → **In Development**
# CFSR Measures

## County CWS Performance in Federal CFSR Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>National Std</th>
<th>Baseline (Q4 2016)</th>
<th>Q4 2017</th>
<th>Q4 2018</th>
<th>Q4 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maltreatment in Foster Care (S1)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence of Maltreatment - (S2)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency in 12 Months (Entering Foster Care) - (P1)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency in 12 Months (In Care 12-23 Mo.) - (P2)*</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency in 12 Months (In Care 24 + Months) - (P3)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-Entry to Foster Care in 12 Months - (P4)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement Stability - (P5) (moves per day x 1000)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## County CWS Performance in Older Youth CFSR Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>National Std</th>
<th>Baseline (Q4 2016)</th>
<th>Q4 2017</th>
<th>Q4 2018</th>
<th>Q4 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed High School for Youth at Exit (18-21 Yrs) – (8A)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtained Employment for Youth at Exit (18-21 Yrs) – (8A)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Arrangement for Youth at Exit (18-21 Yrs) – (8A)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanency Connection with Adult at Exit (18-21 Yrs) – (8A)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CFSR MEASURES CONTINUED

### County CWS Performance in State Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>National Std</th>
<th>Baseline (Q4 2016)</th>
<th>Q4 2017</th>
<th>Q4 2018</th>
<th>Q4 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referral Rates - (PR)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantiation Rates - (PR)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry Rates - (PR)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Care Rates - (PR)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely (Immediate) Response Compliance - (2B)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Completed (Immediate) Response - 2D</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timely (Initial)- 10-Day Response Compliance (2B)</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Completed - 10-Day Response Compliance (2D)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Visits in Residence with Child - (2F) (Out-of-Home Placement)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Visits with Child (Out-of-Home Kids) - (2F)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Visits in Residence with Child (In-Home) - (2S)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Visits with Child (In-Home Kids) - (2S)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings (All Placed Together) - (4A)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings (Some or All Placed Together) - (4A)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least Restrictive Placement (1st Entry with Rel/NREFM) - (4B)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregate Care Placement (One Year or More) - (4C)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Timely Dental Exam - (5B)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely Health Exam - (5B)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>79.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized for Psychotropic Medication – (5F)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualized Education Plan – (6B)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quick poll
- Additional SIP Stakeholder Feedback Session (date tbd)
- CPRG Workgroup Session June 11, 2020 1:30
- Next Task Force Meeting August 20, 2020